

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,401	11/24/2003	Alfred Siggel	RDH28446USA DIV-2 (P25,32		
759	90 09/14/2005	•	EXAMINER		
Honeywell International Inc.			TENTON	TENTONI, LEO B	
101 Columbia Pike P O Box 2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Morristown, NJ 07962-2245			1732		

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ή			W			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/720,401	SIGGEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leo B. Tentoni	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirged and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	lovember 2003.					
· _	action is non-final.					
• •	,—————————————————————————————————————					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	•				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/626,431. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11242003-02122004</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/720,401

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1732, Examiner Leo Tentoni.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/626,431, filed on 27 July 2000.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The status of the parent applications (on page 1) should be updated.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the

Art Unit: 1732

invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Owens (U.S. Patent 5,321,069 A).

Owens (see the entire document, in particular, col. 3, lines 35-47; Examples) teach a process of making a luminescent fiber as claimed.

6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schrell et al (U.S. Patent 5,770,110 A).

Schrell et al (see the entire document, in particular, col.

1, lines 4-5; col. 1, line 45 to col. 2, line 47; Examples) teach a process of making a luminescent fiber as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Application/Control Number: 10/720,401

Art Unit: 1732

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Owens et al (U.S. Patent 5,321,069 A).

Owens (see the entire document, in particular, col. 3, lines 35-47; Examples) teach a process of making a luminescent fiber as claimed, except that Owens does not explicitly teach viscose, which would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of Owens principally because Owens teaches that other polymeric materials may be used in the process (col. 7, lines 50-57).

10. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schrell et al (U.S. Patent 5,770,110 A).

Schrell et al (see the entire document, in particular, col. 1, lines 4-5; col. 1, line 45 to col. 2, line 47; Examples) teach a process of making a luminescent fiber as claimed, except that Schrell et al do not explicitly teach an average particle

Application/Control Number: 10/720,401

Art Unit: 1732

size range of from about 5 microns to about 20 microns, which would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of Schrell et al principally in order to produce a fiber having desired characteristics and/or properties (e.g., strength).

Page 5

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,401 Page 6

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

1bt